

FW: Follow-up question regarding 2003 wheeze study Andrea Venn

to:

Glinda Cooper 03/29/2012 08:29 AM Hide Details

From: Andrea Venn < Andrea. Venn@nottingham.ac.uk >

To: Glinda Cooper/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Just following on from this, in the analysis of cases only (looking at effects on severity/symptoms, where a sig effect was seen), the median in the top quartile was slightly lower at 38.6 and the range 32-83. BW

Andrea

From: Venn Andrea Sent: 29 March 2012 13:19

To: 'Glinda Cooper'

Subject: RE: Follow-up question regarding 2003 wheeze study

Dear Glinda

No problem. I have gone back to the dataset and looked at the formaldehyde values in each of quartiles of exposure. The results are below (this is for all 404 children ie cases and controls, for whom we measured formaldehyde). In the top quartile, the median value was 41.02 microg/m3 and the range 32-123. Below this, I have put a table of the distribution of formaldehyde overall, not by quartile. If you need values for cases and controls separately, or anything else, please get back to me (but please note I am on annual leave for 2 weeks from Monday)

Best wishes Andrea.

Dr Andrea Venn
Associate Professor of Epidemiology
Division of Epidemiology and Public Health
Clinical Sciences Building 2
City Hospital
Nottingham
NG5 1PB

Tel: 0115 8231386 Fax: 0115 8231337

Distribution of formaldehyde by quartile of exposure: Descriptives

Descriptives -								
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	formqu	ıar		Statistic	Std. Error			
formal	1.00	Mean		11.43	.335			
		95% Confidence Interval for	Lower Bound	10.76				
		Mean	Upper Bound	12.09				
		5% Trimmed Mean		11.70				
		Median		12.24				
		Variance		12.023				
		Std. Deviation		3.467				
		Minimum		1				
		Maximum		16				
		Range	•	15				
		Interquartile Range		4				
		Skewness		-1.131	.23			
	-	Kurtosis		1.014	.46			
	2.00	Mean		19.00	.17			
		95% Confidence Interval for	Lower Bound	18.65				
		Mean	Upper Bound	19.35				
		5% Trimmed Mean		18.99				
		Median		19.23				
		Variance	14	2.876				
		Std. Deviation		1.696				
		Minimum		16				
		Maximum		22				
		Range		6				
		Interquartile Range		3				
		Skewness		.011	.24			
		Kurtosis		-1.094	.49:			
	3.00	Mean		26.86	.28			
		95% Confidence Interval for	Lower Bound	26.29				
		Mean	Upper Bound	27.43				
		5% Trimmed Mean		26.84				
		Median		26.55				
		Variance		8.892				
		Std. Deviation		2.982				
		Minimum		22				

	Maximum	32	
	Range	10	
	Interquartile Range	5	
	Skewness	.097	.233
	Kurtosis	-1.120	.461
4.00	Mean	44.39	1.388
	95% Confidence Interval for Lower Bound	41.64	
	Mean Upper Bound	47.15	
	5% Trimmed Mean	42.77	
	Median	41.02	
	Variance	183.125	
	Std. Deviation	13.532	
	Minimum	32	
	Maximum	123	247
	Range	91	
	Interquartile Range	11	i
	Skewness	2.830	.247
	Kurtosis	12.004	.490

Overall distribution of formaldehyde:

Descriptives

000000000000000000000000000000000000000			Statistic	Std. Error
formal	Mean		25.07	.696
	95% Confidence Interval for Lower Bound		23.70	
	Mean Upper Bound		. 26.43	
	5% Trimmed Mean	23.97		
	Median		22.01	
	Variance		195.780	
	Std. Deviation		13.992	
	Minimum		1	
	Maximum		123	
	Range		123	
	Interquartile Range		16	
	Skewness		1.803	.121
	Kurtosis		6.970	.242

From: Glinda Cooper [mailto:Cooper.Glinda@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: 27 March 2012 23:06

To: andrea.venn@nottingham.ac.uk

Subject: Follow-up question regarding 2003 wheeze study

Dear Dr. Venn:

I am an epidemiologist with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and I am preparing a summary of the relevant studies pertaining to formaldehyde exposure and asthma as part of a health assessment we are conducting for this chemical.

I have reviewed your 2003 paper on the wheezing risk and frequency of symptoms study you conducted (see attached pdf), and had a question I was hoping you could help me with. Could you tell me the MAXIMUM value, or even better, the median formaldehyde level for the highest quartile of exposure (the > 32 microg/m3 group). That information would allow me to more accurately reflect the exposures corresponding to the risk estimate seen in this group.

I appreciate any assistance you can provide about these questions (but I certainly understand the difficulties in going back to data sets completed so many years ago).

(See attached file: Venn Thorax 2003.pdf)

Sincerely,

Glinda Cooper

Glinda S. Cooper, PhD Senior Epidemiologist

phone: 703-347-8636 fax: 703-347-8689

email: cooper.glinda@epa.gov

US Mail:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency National Center for Environmental Assessment (8601-P) 1200 Pennsylvania Ave NW Washington, DC 20460

Address for Courier Service: U.S. EPA Two Potomac Yard (North Building) N-8315 2733 S. Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22202

This message and any attachment are intended solely for the addressee and may contain confidential information. If you have received this message in error, please send it back to me, and immediately delete it. Please do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this message or in any attachment. Any views or opinions expressed by the author of this email do not necessarily reflect the views of the University of Nottingham.

This message has been checked for viruses but the contents of an attachment may still contain software viruses which could damage your computer system: you are advised to perform your own checks. Email communications with the University of Nottingham may be monitored as permitted by UK legislation.